

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/698,206	10/30/2000	Yoshihito Asao	Q61449 606		
	590 02/27/2003	·		<u>.</u>	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK, SEAS			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			MULLINS, BURTON S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Applicant(s) Application No.

		_
Office	<b>Action</b>	Summary

Application No.	, ,	
09/698,206	ASAO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Burton S. Mullins	2834	

The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period for Reply		

# A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 January 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s)		THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur	period for reply is specified above, the maximum of e to reply within the set or extended period for reply any received by the Office later than three months	munication. 30) days, a statutory per	reply within the statutor	ry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-1 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Sta	_					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s)		1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)				
closed in accordance with the practice under £x pane (dayle, 1930 c.B. 11, 1930 c.B.	:	2a)∐	This action is FINAL.				
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	Die	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17. 2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	DI:	-		applica	ation.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	İ	4)[2]	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/a	re withd	rawn from consid	deration.	
6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  11 Notice of Praffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)    Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction a	nd/or election red	quirement.	
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Brefrences Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Aı						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Brefrences Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		9)	The specification is objected to by	he Exar	miner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)□ a	accepted or b) 🔲 o	objected to by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Applicant may not request that any	bjection	to the drawing(s) b	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		12)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by th	ne Examiner.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	P	riority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		13)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for fo	oreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		a)					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		*	See the attached detailed Office at	not notic	a list of the certification	ador 25 U.S.C. & 119(e) (to a provisional application	).
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/of 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		14)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for do	mestic priority ui	relication has been received	,
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		15)	<ul> <li>a)                The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a class.</li> </ul>	languag m for do	ge provisional ap omestic priority u	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
1) Notice of Profisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ľ					1) Tatanian Summary (PTO 413) Paner No(s)	
7	2	No D	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-9 9) Paper	48) No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/698,206

Art Unit: 2834

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusase (JP 3-226251) in view of Kreuzer et al. (US 4,402,129) and Rich (US 4,102,040). Kusase generally teaches applicant's invention of an alternator (Fig.3) comprising: a rotor 18 having a number of claw-shaped magnetic poles (not numbered, see Fig.3) for alternately forming north-seeking (N) and south-seeking (S) poles about a rotational circumference; a stator 14 having an annular stator core 15, polyphase winding 16 installed in the core, and a number of slots (not numbered, see Figs.8-9) extending axially at a predetermined pitch in a circumferential direction; the polyphase winding (phases X,Y&Z) comprising a number of winding portions (not numbered, see Figs.8-9) in which long strands of wire are wound, the strands folding outside the slots at both axial ends (Figs.2,4,5&6) to form "coil end groups" 16a/16b at axial end surfaces of said stator core (Fig.4).

Kusase does not teach: 1) that the long strands of wire alternately occupy an inner layer and an outer layer in a slot depth direction within said slots (Figs. 8-9) at intervals of a predetermined number of slots; and 2) an abutting portion on the core used to form an annular shape by joining core ends at the abutting portion.

Regarding (1), Kreuzer teaches a three-phase AC generator wound with a two-layer, polyphase winding comprising a number of winding portions 40, 41 and 42 (Fig.1) wherein each winding portion comprises a long strand of wire wound so as to alternately occupy an

Application/Control Number: 05/698,206

Art Unit: 2834

inner layer and an outer layer in a slot depth direction within said slots (Figs.1-2) at intervals of a predetermined number of slots, the strands folding outside the slots at both axial ends (Fig.1) to form coil end groups at axial end surfaces of the stator core. Kreuzer's two-layer winding and method therefor achieves a higher space utilization factor (c.1, lines 20-22 & 25-30; c.2, lines 34-38).

Regarding (2), Rich teaches a method of forming an alternator stator core stack 5 made from stacked individual laminations 1, introduced into a bending machine, and bent by rollers 6 into a cylindrical ring, with ends of the stack 5 fastened together opposite one another at an "abutting portion" (c.9, lines 20-23; Figs.2-3&8). The process described by Rich simplifies construction and reduces the cost of manufacture (c.1, lines 53-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kusase and provide a double-layer, alternating position winding per Kreuzer since this would have been desirable to achieve a higher space utilization factor, and further to provide a stator core with an abutting portion per Rich since this would have been desirable to simplify construction of the core and reduce manufacture.

Regarding claim 3, the back of Rich's core is inseparable and integral with the core.

Regarding claim 5, Rich teaches notches 4 in the stator core.

Regarding claim 6, as seen in Fig.2 of Rich, the abutting portion is formed inside (the middle of) a tooth.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusase (JP 3-226251) in view of Kreuzer et al. (US 4,402,129) and Shinichiro (JP 1-252141). Kusase, as described above, substantially teaches applicant's invention including strands folding outside

Application/Control Number: 05/698,206

Art Unit: 2834

the slots at both axial ends (Figs.2&4-6) to form "coil end groups" 16a/16b at axial end surfaces of said stator core (Fig.4). However, Kusase does teach: 1) long strands of wire alternately occupying an inner layer and an outer layer in a slot depth direction within said slots (Figs.8-9) at intervals of a predetermined number of slots; and 2) an abutting portion on the core used to form an annular shape by joining core ends at the abutting portion.

Regarding (1), Kreuzer teaches a three-phase AC generator wound with a two-layer, polyphase winding comprising a number of winding portions 40, 41 and 42 (Fig.1) wherein each winding portion comprises a long strand of wire wound so as to alternately occupy an inner layer and an outer layer in a slot depth direction within said slots (Figs.1-2) at intervals of a predetermined number of slots, the strands folding outside the slots at both axial ends (Fig.1) to form coil end groups at axial end surfaces of the stator core. Kreuzer's two-layer winding and method therefor achieves a higher space utilization factor (c.1, lines 20-22 & 25-30; c.2, lines 34-38).

Regarding (2), Shinichiro teaches a stator core 7 formed from sheets 1 and plural arc-shaped divided core portions 4 and an abutting portion (not numbered) formed when the core is bent and ends of the core are brought together (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kusase and provide a double-layer, alternating position winding per Kreuzer since this would have been desirable to achieve a higher space utilization factor, and further to provide a stator core with an abutting portion per Shinichiro since this would have been desirable to simplify construction of the core and reduce manufacture.

Regarding claim 2, the core of Shinichiro comprises plural "divided" teeth parts 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,206

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 3, the back of Shinichiro's core is inseparable and integral with the core.

Regarding claim 5, Shinichiro teaches notches 5 in the stator core.

Regarding claim 6, as seen in Fig.1 of Shinichiro, the abutting portion is formed inside (the middle of) a tooth.

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Kusase in combination with either Kreuzer and Rich, or Kreuzer and Shinichiro, as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Gotou (US 4,692,646). Neither Kusase, Kreuzer, Rich or Shinichiro teach stator teeth of different sizes.

Gotou teaches a permanent magnet machine including stator 24 having "short" and "long" teeth of different circumferential width so as to reduce cogging (Fig.5, c.3, lines 20-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to modify the stator teeth of Kusase in combination with either Kreuzer and Rich, or Kreuzer and Shinchiro, and provide different circumferential widths per Gotou since this would have been desirable to reduce cogging. Regarding placement of the abutting portion inside the larger tooth, this would be one of two logical choices when the teachings of Gotou are combined by one of ordinary skill with Kusase and either Kreuzer and Rich, or Kreuzer and Shinchiro, since the Rich and Shinchiro references teach abutting portions formed inside a tooth.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,206

Art Unit: 2834

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The term "long strand of wire" (claim 1) is taken to mean a continous wire, as opposed to conductors formed by U-shaped segments of wire, e.g. Umeda's Fig.9 embodiment (US '813).
- 6. Examiner notes that non-elected claims 8-13 still remain in the application.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Umeda (US '813 and US '810) pertain to claw-pole alternator stator windings comprising long strands of wire. DE 199 22 794 discloses an AC machine, in particular a motor, having a polyphase, multi-layer stator winding composed of long strands of wire for each phase (Fig.5). JP 63-161855 pertains to a double layer synchronous motor winding. DE 39 01 098 pertains to a similar polyphase, two-layer stator winding. JP 62-296732 pertains to a three-phase armature winding with a double-layer winding.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 305-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-1341 for regular communications and 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 69/698,206

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

bsm February 25, 2003